1 2 3 4	STEVEN G. KALAR Federal Public Defender ELIZABETH M. FALK Assistant Federal Public Defender 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7700			
5	Counsel for Defendant JACKSON			
6				
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}	No. CR 14-476-CRB	
11 12	Plaintiff, v.		STIPULATION AND  [PROPOSED] ORDER  CONTINUING STATUS  CONFERENCE	
13 14	MICHELLE JACKSON,		Hearing Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.	
15 16	Defendant.	}	The Honorable Charles R. Breyer	
17 18 19	The undersigned parties stipulate as follows:			
20	1. A status conference is currently set before this Court on November 18, 2015 at			
21	4:00 pm. As set forth in the Indictment, this	s cas	e involves ten counts of mail fraud	
22	and conspiracy to commit mail fraud;			
23				
24	2. New counsel for the government entered an appearance in this case only a few			
25	days ago. The parties accordingly need time to come up to speed and decide			
26	whether the case will be resolved by plea or jury trial. In any event, defense			
27	counsel hereby submits that were there to be a jury trial in this case, Ms. Jackson			
could not attend such a trial until the summer months			onths in the year 2016, as she lives	
	out of state in Coal Valley Illinois, has a minimum wage job, and supports 4  U.S. v. Jackson, 14-476 CRB  Stip Continue Status Conference			

1	children who all need to attend school under her care and custody until this		
2	summer. Were this case to go to trial, Ms. Jackson would need to bring her		
3	children with her to California for the trial, as there is no one else who can		
4	properly care for them in her absence. Accordingly, a continuance of the instant		
5	status conference will not prejudice Ms. Jackson or the potential requested		
6	schedule for the case;		
7			
8	3. Given all the aforementioned, counsel for Ms. Jackson requests that this Court		
9	continue the status conference to Wednesday, January 13 2016 at 2:00 PM.		
10			
11	4. The parties jointly request an exclusion of time on the basis of effective		
12	preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel; defense counsel further submits		
13	that an exclusion of time is in her client's best interest, and that the ends of justice		
14	served by excluding the additional period between November 18, 2015 and		
15	January 13, 2016 outweigh her client's interest in a speedy trial.		
16			
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
18			
19	DATED: November 18, 2015/s/		
20	ELIZABETH M. FALK Assistant Federal Public Defender		
21			
22	DATED: November 18, 2015/S/		
23	BENJAMIN KINGSLEY Assistant United States Attorney		
24			
25			
26	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby ORDERED that the status conference		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	in the aforementioned case is hereby continued from November 18, 2015 to January 13,		
۷۵	2016 at 2:00 PM before this Court. Based on the aforementioned representations of		
	defense counsel, this Court further finds that counsel for the defendant needs additional		

## Case 3:14-cr-00476-CRB Document 28 Filed 11/19/15 Page 3 of 3

time to effectively prepare a defense for her client, and that defense counsel believes that an exclusion of time is in her client's best interest. Given these circumstances, this Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period between November 18, 2015 and January 13, 2016 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. An exclusion of time is thus appropriate under the Speedy Trial Act between November 18, 2015 and January 13, 2016 to enable counsel to effectively prepare. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & 18 U.S.C. § 3161(B)(iv).

DATED: November 19, 2015



THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE